

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/661,356	09/11/2003		Duran Yetkinler	SKEL-012	6769
24353	7590	03/09/2006		EXAMINER	
		FRANCIS LLI	RAMANA, ANURADHA		
1900 UNIVE SUITE 200	KSIIY AVE	NUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
EAST PALO ALTO, CA 94303				3733	

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/661,356	YETKINLER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anu Ramana	3733
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timulated and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Fe</u> This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6-11 and 31-45 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,6-11 and 31-45 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or is/are restriction and/or is/are subject.	vn from consideration.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 9/11/03 is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(PTO 413)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3733

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 7, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1-2, 7-9, 11, 31-33, 36-38, 40-41 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Constantz et al. (US 6,149,655).

Constantz et al. disclose a method of introducing calcium phosphate cement for orthopedic applications utilizing a cement delivery means such as a needle wherein the needle is vibrated to enhance perfusation of cement (col. 25, lines 38-59, col. 27, lines 11-57, col. 28, lines 49-67 and col. 29, lines 1-67).

Constantz et al. clearly disclose that vibration can be utilized instead of application of pressure to enhance cement infiltration or promote efficient infiltration (col. 27, lines 31-57). Thus, when vibration is stopped, infiltration would also be "simultaneously stopped" since the driving force for cement delivery would be removed.

Regarding claim 31, Constantz et al. disclose removal of marrow matter prior to introduction of calcium phosphate (col. 26, lines 43-48).

Art Unit: 3733

Regarding claims 44-45, since vibration is being used instead of application of pressure, the applied pressure will be atmospheric or near atmospheric (approximately 14 psi).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

Claims 10 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Constantz et al. (US 6,149,655) or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Constantz et al. (US 6,149,655).

Although Constantz et al. do not make an explicit reference to a vibratory element attached to the cement delivery means or needle such an element would be inherently necessary in order to vibrate the cement delivery needle.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to provide a vibratory element attached to the needle in order to vibrate the needle. To support this position, the Examiner directs Applicants' attention to Sproul (US 6,832,988) who discloses an ultrasonic pulse generator or "vibratory element" 92 attached to an ultrasonic probe 91 in order to vibrate the probe (Fig. 7 and col. 7, lines 15-47).

The method steps of claims 10 and 42 are rendered obvious by the above discussion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantz et al. (US 6,149,655) in view of Sproul (US 6,832,988).

Art Unit: 3733

Constantz et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except for the step of aspirating marrow from cancellous bone.

Sproul teaches maceration and liquefaction of diseased tissue followed by aspiration during vertebroplasty (col. 1, lines 29-38 and col. 7, lines 15-47).

Accordingly it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized aspiration, as taught by Sproul, for removing diseased tissue during according to the method of the combination of Constantz et al. and Sproul.

Claims 34, 35 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantz et al. (US 6,149,655) in view of Seki (US 4,961,817).

Constantz et al. discloses all elements of the claimed invention except for a pneumatic vibratory element.

Seki et al. teach a pneumatic vibrator 3B for vibrating a needle-like member 3A (Figure 7 and col. 5, lines 37-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to utilize a pneumatic vibrator or "vibratory element," as taught by Seki, for the purpose of vibrating the needle of Constantz et al., since it was known in the art to utilize a pneumatic vibrator to vibrate a needle-like member.

Claim 39 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantz et al. (US 6,149,655).

Constantz et al. disclose that the amount of flowable calcium phosphate cement utilized depends on the nature of the vertebral body being treated (col. 27, lines 2-9).

Constantz et al. disclose all elements of the claimed invention except for the amount of cement being about 4 to 10 cubic centimeters. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have utilized 4 to 10 cubic centimeters of cement, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Art Unit: 3733

Response to Arguments

The Applicant did not present any arguments in the response filed on February 7, 2006. Applicant's arguments submitted under "REMARKS" in the response filed on January 9, 2006 were addressed in the Advisory Action mailed on January 17, 2006. Regarding the limitation "stops simultaneously with cessation of vibration," it is noted that if vibration is used as the driving force instead of pressure for efficient cement infiltration, stopping vibration would remove the driving force and thereby simultaneously stop infiltration.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3733

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anu Ramana whose telephone number is (571) 272-4718. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached at (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AR Anuadha Kamara

EDUARDO C/ROBERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER